

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The present amendment is submitted in response to the Office Action received from the United States Patent Office dated October 6, 2008. The Examiner states in the Restriction Requirement that election of one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. §121:

I. Claims 1-11, drawn to a communication communication converter, classified in class 709, subclass 224..

II. Claims 12-14, drawn to a method of converting Ethernet data from a Internet protocol, classified in class 709, subclass 228.

Applicant elects Claims 1-11 shown by the Examiner to be drawn to a communication converter, classified in class 709, subclass 224. Applicant has reviewed the filed claims and respectfully submits that Claims 1-11 are properly supported by the specification. Therefore, Applicant elects Claims 1-11. Applicant has withdrawn claims 12-14 which are drawn to a method of converting Ethernet data from a Internet protocol, classified in class 709, subclass 228. This election is made without traverse.

In view of the foregoing remarks, Applicant respectfully submits all of the claims in the application are in allowable form and that the application is now in condition for allowance. If any outstanding issues remain, Applicant urges the Patent Office to telephone Applicant's attorney so that the same may be resolved and the application expedited to issue. Applicant requests the Patent Office to indicate all claims as allowable and to pass the application to issue.

Respectfully submitted,
RUTAN & TUCKER

By

Hani Z. Sayed
Registration No. 52,544

Dated: November 6, 2008

Rutan & Tucker, LLP
611 Anton Blvd., Suite 1400
Costa Mesa, CA 92626
Telephone (714) 641-5100